Stochastic Games with Hidden States (Online Appendix)

Yuichi Yamamoto*

S.1 Proof of Lemma B6

Pick an arbitrary belief μ . If

$$\frac{(1-\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}} \geq \overline{g},$$

then the result obviously holds because we have $|\lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega}) - \lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu})| \leq \overline{g}$. So in what follows, we assume that

$$\frac{(1-\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}}<\overline{g}.$$

Suppose that the initial prior is μ and players play the strategy profile \tilde{s}^{μ} . Let $\Pr(h^t | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})$ be the probability of h^t given the initial prior μ and the strategy profile \tilde{s}^{μ} , and let $\mu^{t+1}(h^t | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})$ denote the posterior belief in period t + 1 given this history h^t . Let H^{*t} be the set of histories h^t such that t + 1 is the first period at which the support of the posterior belief μ^{t+1} is in the set Ω^* . Intuitively, H^{*t} is the set of histories h^t such that players will switch their play to $s^{\mu^{t+1}}$ from period t + 1 on, according to \tilde{s}^{μ} .

Note that the payoff $v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu})$ by the strategy profile \tilde{s}^{μ} can be represented as the sum of the two terms: The expected payoffs before the switch to $s^{\mu^{t}}$ occurs,

^{*}Department of Economics, University of Pennsylvania. Email: yyam@sas.upenn.edu

and the payoffs after the switch. That is, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \sum_{\tilde{t}=0}^{t-1} \sum_{h^{\tilde{t}} \in H^{*\tilde{t}}} \Pr(h^{\tilde{t}} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \right) (1-\delta) \delta^{t-1} E\left[\lambda \cdot g^{\omega^{t}}(a^{t}) | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h^{t} \in H^{*t}} \Pr(h^{t} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \delta^{t} \lambda \cdot v^{\mu^{t+1}(h^{t} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})} (\delta, s^{\mu^{t+1}(h^{t} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})}) \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation operator is taken conditional on that the switch has not happened yet. Note that the term $1 - \sum_{\tilde{t}=0}^{t-1} \sum_{h^{\tilde{t}} \in H^{*\tilde{t}}} \Pr(h^{\tilde{t}} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})$ is the probability that players still randomize all actions in period *t* because the switch has not happened by then. To simplify the notation, let ρ^t denote this probability. From Lemma B5, we know that

$$\lambda \cdot v^{\mu^{t+1}(h^t|\mu,\tilde{s}^{\mu})}(\delta, s^{\mu^{t+1}(h^t|\mu,\tilde{s}^{\mu})}) \ge v^*$$

for each $h^t \in H^{*t}$, where

$$v^* = \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot v^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, s^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}) - \frac{(1 - \boldsymbol{\delta}^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{2^{|\Omega|}} \overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}}$$

Applying this and $\lambda \cdot g^{\omega^t}(a^t) \geq -2\overline{g}$ to the above equation, we obtain

$$\lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \rho^{t}(1-\delta)\delta^{t-1}(-2\overline{g}) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h^{t} \in H^{*t}} \Pr(h^{t}|\mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu})\delta^{t}v^{*}.$$

Using $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h^t \in H^{*t}} \Pr(h^t | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \delta^t = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (1-\delta) \delta^{t-1} \sum_{\tilde{t}=0}^{t-1} \sum_{h^{\tilde{t}} \in H^{*\tilde{t}}} \Pr(h^{\tilde{t}} | \mu, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (1-\delta) \delta^{t-1} (1-\rho^t)$, we obtain

$$\lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \ge (1 - \delta) \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^{t-1} \left\{ \rho^t (-2\overline{g}) + (1 - \rho^t) v^* \right\}.$$
⁽¹⁾

According to Lemma B4, the probability that the support reaches Ω^* within $4^{|\Omega|}$ periods is at least π^* . This implies that the probability that players still randomize all actions in period $4^{|\Omega|} + 1$ is at most $1 - \pi^*$. Similarly, for each natural number *n*, the probability that players still randomize all actions in period $n4^{|\Omega|} + 1$ is at most $(1 - \pi^*)^n$, that is, $\rho^{n4^{|\Omega|}+1} \leq (1 - \pi^*)^n$. Then since ρ^t is weakly decreasing in *t*, we obtain

$$\rho^{n4^{|\Omega|}+k} \le (1-\pi^*)^n$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, 4^{|\Omega|}\}$. This inequality, together with $-2\overline{g} \leq v^*$, implies that

$$\rho^{n4^{|\Omega|}+k}(-2\overline{g}) + (1-\rho^{n4^{|\Omega|}+k})v^* \ge (1-\pi^*)^n(-2\overline{g}) + \{1-(1-\pi^*)^n\}v^*$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, 4^{|\Omega|}\}$. Plugging this inequality into (1), we obtain

$$\lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \ge (1 - \delta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{4^{|\Omega|}} \delta^{(n-1)4^{|\Omega|} + k - 1} \begin{bmatrix} -(1 - \pi^*)^{n-1} 2\overline{g} \\ +\{1 - (1 - \pi^*)^{n-1}\}v^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4^{|\Omega|}} \delta^{(n-1)4^{|\Omega|}+k-1} = \frac{\delta^{(n-1)4^{|\Omega|}}(1-\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})}{1-\delta},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \geq & (1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta^{(n-1)4^{|\Omega|}} \begin{bmatrix} -(1 - \pi^*)^{n-1} 2\overline{g} \\ +\{1 - (1 - \pi^*)^{n-1}\} v^* \end{bmatrix} \\ = & -(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 - \pi^*) \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}^{n-1} 2\overline{g} \\ & +(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})^{n-1} - \{(1 - \pi^*) \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}^{n-1}] v^*. \end{split}$$

Plugging $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}^{n-1} = 1/\{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})^{n-1} = 1/(1-\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})$,

$$\lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \geq -\frac{(1-\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} + \frac{\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\pi^*}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}}v^*.$$

Subtracting both sides from $\lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega})$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega}) - \lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{1 - (1 - \pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} + \frac{\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\pi^*(1 - \delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\{1 - (1 - \pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}} - \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})\lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega})}{1 - (1 - \pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Since } \lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega}) &\geq -\overline{g}, \\ \lambda \cdot v^{\omega}(\delta, s^{\omega}) - \lambda \cdot v^{\mu}(\delta, \tilde{s}^{\mu}) \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{1 - (1 - \pi^{*})\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} + \frac{\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}} \pi^{*}(1 - \delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\{1 - (1 - \pi^{*})\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\}\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}} + \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})\overline{g}}{1 - (1 - \pi^{*})\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})3\overline{g}}{1 - (1 - \pi^{*})} + \frac{\pi^{*}(1 - \delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\{1 - (1 - \pi^{*})\}\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})3\overline{g}}{\pi^{*}} + \frac{(1 - \delta^{2^{|\Omega|}})2\overline{g}}{\delta^{2^{|\Omega|}}\overline{\pi}^{4^{|\Omega|}}} \end{split}$$

Hence the result follows.

S.2 Proof of Lemma B11

Pick a belief μ whose support is robustly accessible. Suppose that the initial prior is μ^{**} , the opponents play \tilde{s}_{-i}^{μ} , and player *i* plays a best reply. Let ρ^t denote the probability that players -i still randomize actions in period *t*. Then as in the proof of Lemma B6, we have

$$v_i^{\mu^{**}}(\tilde{s}_{-i}^{\mu}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \delta^{t-1} \left\{ \rho^t \overline{g} + (1-\rho^t) K_i^{\mu} \right\},$$

because the stage-game payoff before the switch to s_{-i}^{μ} is bounded from above by \overline{g} , and the continuation payoff after the switch is bounded from above by $K_i^{\mu} = \max_{\tilde{\mu} \in \Delta^{\mu}} v_i^{\tilde{\mu}}(s_{-i}^{\mu})$.

As in the proof of Lemma B6, we have

$$\rho^{n4^{|\Omega|}+k} \le (1-\pi^*)^n$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, 4^{|\Omega|}\}$. This inequality, together with $\overline{g} \ge K_i^{\mu}$, implies that

$$\rho^{n^{4|\Omega|}+k}\overline{g} + (1-\rho^{n^{4|\Omega|}+k})v_i^* \le (1-\pi^*)^n\overline{g} + \{1-(1-\pi^*)^n\}K_i^{\mu}$$

for each $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, 4^{|\Omega|}\}$. Plugging this inequality into the first one, we obtain

$$v_i^{\mu^{**}}(\tilde{s}_{-i}^{\mu}) \le (1-\delta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{4^{|\Omega|}} \delta^{(n-1)4^{|\Omega|}+k-1} \left[\begin{array}{c} (1-\pi^*)^{n-1} \overline{g} \\ +\{1-(1-\pi^*)^{n-1}\} K_i^{\mu} \end{array} \right].$$

Then as in the proof of Lemma B6, the standard algebra shows

$$v_i^{\mu^{**}}(\tilde{s}_{-i}^{\mu}) \leq \frac{(1-\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})\overline{g}}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} + \frac{\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\pi^*K_i^{\mu}}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}\pi^*}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}} = 1 - \frac{1-\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}}{1-(1-\pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}},$$

we have

$$v_i^{\mu^{**}}(\tilde{s}_{-i}^{\mu}) \leq K_i^{\mu} + \frac{(1 - \delta^{4^{|\Omega|}})(\overline{g} - K_i^{\mu})}{1 - (1 - \pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}}}.$$

Since $1 - (1 - \pi^*)\delta^{4^{|\Omega|}} > 1 - (1 - \pi^*) = \pi^*$ and $K_i^{\mu} \ge -\overline{g}$, the result follows.